

KAUA'I COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW COMMISSION
Lihu'e Civic Center, Mo'ikeha Building, Meeting Room 2A/2B

MINUTES

A regular meeting of the Kaua'i County Historic Preservation Commission (KHPRC) was held on May 26, 2016 in the Lihu'e Civic Center, Mo'ikeha Building, Meeting Room 2A/2B.

The following Commissioners were present: Chairperson Anne Schneider, Charlotte Hoomanawanui, Deatri Nakea, Stephen Long, Pat Griffin, and Althea Arinaga.

The following Commissioner was absent: Victoria Wichman, David Helder, and Larry Chaffin Jr.

The following staff members were present: Planning Department – Kaaina Hull, Shanlee Jimenez, Myles Hironaka; Deputy County Attorney Jodi Higuchi Sayegusa; Office of Boards and Commissions – Administrator Jay Furfaro (*left at 3:48 p.m.*), Commission Support Clerk Darcie Agaran.

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 3:02 p.m.

Ms. Schneider: Roll call.

Deputy Planning Director Kaaina Hull: Commissioner Arinaga?

Ms. Arinaga: Here.

Mr. Hull: Commissioner Chaffin? Commissioner Griffin?

Ms. Griffin: Here.

Mr. Hull: Commissioner Helder? Commissioner Hoomanawanui?

Ms. Hoomanawanui: Oh, I'm here. Sorry.

Mr. Hull: Commissioner Long?

Mr. Long: Here.

Mr. Hull: Commissioner Nakea?

Ms. Nakea: Here.

Mr. Hull: Commissioner Schneider?

Ms. Schneider: Here.

Mr. Hull: Commissioner Wichman? We do have a quorum, Madam Chair.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Ms. Schneider: And the approval...should we do the...?

Mr. Hull: Yeah, the next agenda item is approval of the agenda. The Department would request that Item H...the agenda be amended so that Item H is taken before Item G, and we, essentially, need a motion for that.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a motion?

Ms. Griffin: I move that the agenda be amended to move Item H before Item G.

Ms. Arinaga: Second.

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion? Do we need a vote?

Mr. Hull: Yes.

Ms. Schneider: Can we have a vote? All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Any opposed? (None) Item passes. Motion carries 6:0.

APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 28, 2016 MEETING MINUTES

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is the public comment period in which individuals may orally testify on items on the agenda during the public comment period. So...

Ms. Schneider: Is there anybody from the public that would like to come up and...

Mr. Hull: Oh, excuse me. Sorry. Thank you, Commissioner Griffin. The next agenda item is actually the approval of the minutes from April 28, 2016.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a motion to approve the minutes or any corrections?

Ms. Arinaga: I move that we accept April 28th's meeting minutes.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a second?

Ms. Griffin: Second.

Ms. Schneider: All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion passes 6:0.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is the public comment period for individuals to orally testify on items on this agenda during the public comment period. I don't believe we have any...

Ms. Schneider: We have some people.

Mr. Hull: I don't believe we have anybody signed up to speak, currently. Is there anybody in the audience that would like to testify on any agenda items at this time?

Ms. Schneider: Seeing none.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND GENERAL BUSINESS MATTERS

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is E., Announcements and General Business Matters. We have none.

Ms. Griffin: I have a general business matter that... Madam Chair, as I think all of us on the table know that this is... May is National Historic... or State Historic Preservation Month. Also, 2016 is the 50th anniversary of the National Historic Preservation Act. And I was wondering, Madam Chair, if you could potentially ask our State Historic Preservation Division Representative here today if they have some media materials or something that we could use educationally for The Garden Island, for Kaua'i, or other media that would help spread that word.

Ms. Schneider: Is there anybody here who would like to address that? (Laughter) Anna?

Anna Broverman: Hello, Madam Chair. I'm Anna Broverman from the State Historic Preservation Division, Architecture Branch. We have some materials prepared already to announce the 50th anniversary of the National Historic Preservation Act in the 100th anniversary of the National Parks Service that we could give to the Planning Department so the... or we can meet with our DLNR, I guess, information people that could get us in touch with The Garden Island who we could give this information to. I'm not sure what would be the quickest way to get that information out. Is it through the Planning Department, you think?

Ms. Schneider: You could submit it to the Planning Department.

Mr. Hull: Yeah. And... I mean, well, because we can put it out to the Commissioners, as well as have it available for the public, and we can also give it to our Communications Officer who can

do a press release as well, or you can go directly to The Garden Island. We are happy to do either approach, Anna.

Ms. Broverman: Yeah, we can't go directly to The Garden Island, so I'll send you that information.

Mr. Hull: Okay. Thanks.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Ms. Broverman: Thank you.

Ms. Griffin: And Madam Chair, this is also the 30th anniversary of this body and the establishment of the County of Kaua'i as a Certified Local Government, and I would hope that after the public knows more about the National Historic Preservation Act that a follow-up about the work that's being done here could be put together and submitted as well.

Ms. Schneider: Yes, to the newspaper would be great. Thank you, Pat.

Ms. Arinaga: So who would be responsible for that? Planning?

Ms. Schneider: Well, first we have to get the material, right?

Mr. Hull: Yeah. There wouldn't be a motion or anything necessary. Once they get it to us, we can follow-up with our Communications Officer.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Mr. Hull: Okay. Any other announcements? Seeing none.

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is Communications, which we do not have.

NEW BUSINESS

**Re: Hanapēpē Bridge
TMK: 1-9-04 & 10
Hanapēpē, Waimea, Island of Kaua'i
Federal-Aid Project Number BR-0545(001)**

Mr. Hull: The adjustment to the agenda now. We have H.1., New Business. Hanapēpē Bridge, TMK: 1-9-004 and 010, Hanapēpē, Waimea, Island of Kaua'i, Federal-Aid Project Number BR-

0545(001). And I believe we have Michael Moule of the Public Works Engineering Division to speak to you folks about the repair work.

Michael Moule: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. I don't have anything to present. Just have the...

Ms. Schneider: Give us your name first.

Mr. Moule: I'm sorry. Michael Moule. I'm the Chief of Engineering with the County. I don't have anything to present. I believe you've received the information. Correct?

Mr. Hull: All that was really given to us was the letter itself.

Mr. Moule: Okay.

Mr. Hull: Yeah.

Mr. Moule: Okay. I'll just describe the project that we have. Sorry, I didn't really (inaudible) any more. The project that we are trying to move forward with right now is the very simple repair project; it's not a rehabilitation, it's not a replacement, it's simply repairing spalled off concrete. Basically, concrete is literally falling off of the bridge and so, essentially, what it entails is replace where there's rebar exposed, the steel reinforcement is exposed. What it entails is simply scrubbing those areas, cleaning them so the new material will hold to that, attaching some mesh in certain areas, and then just, essentially, putting on new concrete, like a (inaudible) sort of thing, onto the structure itself. It would not change the...it won't increase the strength of the bridge in any significant way; just keeps it there longer, essentially. Preserves the bridge (inaudible), so it doesn't fall into the river any more than it already has. That's the intent of this project; fairly simple project.

We had previously proposed to do this work, along with a project to replace the walkway. Due to some challenges with respect to the design for the walkway replacement that we intended at that time, we have split those into two (2) pieces. So at this time, we're moving forward just with replacing...oh, sorry, repairing the...necessary maintenance, really, more than anything else, and any further action will be done at a later date.

Our future plans to the bridge have not fully been determined at this time. We anticipate going through a major rehabilitation project sometime within the next 10-15 years. We don't know for sure what that will be; if it will be trying to rehabilitate what's there, or replace the structure. We understand, due to the historic nature of this structure, that replacing it is something that would be a challenge, but steel and concrete don't last forever. So the substructure has deteriorated significantly and in order to have that bridge continue to last, we have to do something significant from a rehabilitation standpoint. We have not started any studies to identify what the scope of work would need to be to bring the bridge back up so it can carry heavier loads, number one, not that it needs to carry highway loads, but something heavier than it does today, and simply not

continue to deteriorate so that the load that it can carry is literally no vehicles, which is what would happen within the next 10-15 years if nothing is done.

So that's where we are with the bridge at this time. Like I said, the repairs are simply to repair what's there. If you've been under the bridge...my wife paddles under it regularly and she has seen the places where the concrete has spalled off. It's certainly a challenging situation from that point of view.

Ms. Schneider: Any questions from the Commissioners to the applicant?

Mr. Hull: Okay. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Schneider: Do we need a motion?

Mr. Hull: I think you want to open it up to the members of the public.

Ms. Schneider: Can we open this up to the public? Thank you.

Administrator Furfaro: Commissioners and Chairwoman, Boards and Commissions received a communication from an individual in the Hanapēpē area. As the spalling treatment for the bridge is explained here, the question was...as the moisture gets into the wrought iron, it breaks down the concrete from the expansion, and I did hear Mr. Moule indicate that the intent was to sand the rebar afterwards. The communication we got was is there a particular rust retardant that the bar was going to be treated with after the sanding and before the re-plastering? Thank you.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you, Jay.

Mr. Moule: Hold on one second. Yeah, so the intent would be, where we have exposed steel...I'm just checking some of the older plans for this. We don't have final plans for this particular work, but some of the older plans that we had for the previous project, that hasn't been constructed, before we changed the scope says that we would remove all the rust from the steel, and then apply a rust-proof paint on the exposed steel. What that specific product is? I don't know. But, again, the idea is to take off any loose material, including the rust itself and any loose concrete material that would...because things don't adhere, apply the rust-proof paint, wire brushing the cracks and denominations to take that off, and then fill any voids with epoxy concrete mix, and then smooth it out to try to match, as closely as possible, the original surface of the bridge there. So that's the plan.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Mr. Hull: I might also just bring up to the Commission that...not to belabor Mr. Moule here...but there were a fair amount of questions that this Commission had with the Department of Water, and it's corresponding, or lack thereof, coordination with this repair project. And if there are no further questions and you felt that at the last meeting, Department of Water had adequately addressed

those, because a lot of these questions were more aimed at the repair, I'll just mention that this is that project if you had any additional questions.

Mr. Moule: I could address that. I have talked to the Department of Water about their efforts. We have other projects in the area. We are doing a resurfacing of Hanapēpē Road in the near future as well, and so we are trying to coordinate that project with their waterline project. We've talked about this bridge and the challenges that they have trying to get a larger waterline in order to get the fire pressures they need around the entire area on this existing structure. And so I know you all met with them the last two (2) meetings, I believe.

Mr. Hull: Yes.

Mr. Moule: Extensively. I didn't read the entire transcript. (Laughter in background) It's kind of a pretty long transcript there, so... So we...as far as coordinating that project with this project, there's really...from a practical point of view, there's...it doesn't make sense. If we were doing the larger rehabilitation or significant reconstruction of this bridge, reconstruction and rehabilitation of this bridge, then some coordination would make sense. What we are doing here is really just patching, maintenance more than anything else. So there's nothing that we are doing, in this case, that would make it any easier or more difficult to attach a waterline to the bridge in any of the configurations that have been discussed for attaching a waterline.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you. Stephen.

Mr. Long: I was under the impression that the Water Department was going to take a look at their calculations on the diameter of the line, and I would understand that would be different from this project, yeah?

Mr. Hull: Yes, definitely, definitely.

Mr. Moule: I've just talked to the Water Department today, and they have done some calculations on their diameter of the line. They've indicated that to get the fire pressures they need in the Port Allen area, even like two (2) 6-inch lines or a 6-inch plus an 8-inch would not provide the pressures they need at the other end; that was the statement they gave to me. So they have done some studies on that and they are not able to get the pressures they need with that. I'm not speaking for them, except just relaying what they did tell me today, and they said that if that came up, then I could at least mention that part.

Ms. Schneider: Can I ask? You know, the doubling of the waterline is a tremendous change in the load on the bridge, so how does that affect the bridge?

Mr. Moule: I can't...I'm not going to speak specifically to the structural aspects of it. If the waterline was within the envelope of the parapets itself where there is already significant dead load of pavement and concrete and everything else, it's not a significant increase from what there is today. If the waterline is going to be (inaudible) off the side, it's a whole other story, so that's the challenge. I know that came up in the last meetings and that was the challenge that...is can that

be attached somewhere else besides within the envelope of the parapet walls? And that's...we don't know at this point. Our initial...DPW's initial assessment is that seems very impractical because you'd have to be drilling into a very old bridge; a 105 year old bridge, right? So...but beyond our structural engineers, our general evaluation of that concept is saying it's not a good idea. We haven't done a detailed analysis of that. I understand DOW is looking at that in a little more detail, but it's...our, DPW's, point of view is hmm, that seems like not a good idea as a way to get a waterline across that bridge. I'm not suggesting it's impossible because I don't know that at this time, but it seems impractical given the age of the bridge and the fact that you'd have to somehow attach something to the existing concrete on the side of that bridge, which is not an easy thing to do without literally putting holes in it. So that's the concern.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you. Anything else? Any other...?

Mr. Hull: I think you want to open it up to the public.

Ms. Schneider: Open to the public now. Thank you.

Mr. Hull: So the Chair is asking if anybody from the public would like to testify on this agenda item.

Dorothea Hayashi: Dorothea Hayashi. I really couldn't understand. When you're sitting in the back, you can't quite hear the testimony.

Ms. Schneider: Oh, sorry.

Ms. Hayashi: So I'm just going to do my best of what I heard. First of all, at our last meeting that we attended here, we were told that there is sufficient water for Hanapēpē and 'Ele'ele. But because they are going to be connecting it, that's why they are going to put in this larger pipe. I'm sorry, but I'm trying keep Hanapēpē, Hanapēpē. So my...well, my appeal is for a status quo because we know that the water is sufficient at this time.

We did have a meeting on Tuesday, and A&B was there because of the Lima Ola Project. And I asked, at that time, if this was part of, you know, getting water up there to...for helping the development. However, I was told that if they do...

Mr. Hull: I'm gonna...

Ms. Hayashi: Oh, I can't go that way.

Mr. Hull: No, Ms. Hayashi, I'm going to kind of interject here real briefly. The agenda item that Michael Moule just spoke to is concerning, specifically, the repairs of the bridge and not the waterline.

Ms. Hayashi: Oh, okay. Just the repairs. No waterline.

Mr. Hull: So last month, there was the waterline, specifically, being proposed and what was going on, but what...and they may be coming back, the Department of Water, with their project, but the project that KHPRC is reviewing right now is just specifically the repairs to the bridge that DPW, or Department of Public Works is proposing. So if you definitely have anything on that agenda item.

Ms. Hayashi: Well, at this time, we know that it's really deteriorating really badly. When we passed the bridge today, we noticed that patches of it are gone already. And we'd really appreciate that...if they could, repair it to the point where at least we can start the process of preserving the bridge, and I would appreciate that very much.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Ms. Arinaga: Thank you.

Mr. Hull: Are there any other members of the public that would like to testify on this agenda item? Seeing...oh.

Elsie Godbey: My name is Elsie Godbey, and I'm wondering...it was hard to hear Mike...but, you know, the pedestrian walkway, you were going to repair that, too? On top.

Mr. Moule: Not at this time.

Ms. Schneider: Not at this time.

Ms. Godbey: Well, just the support (inaudible)?

Mr. Moule: Just the existing...

Mr. Hull: Miss, if you could just address the Commission. But yeah, you are correct in the sense that, at this time, all they are proposing is just, somewhat, improvements to the...minor improvements or repairs being made to preserve the longevity of the bridge. But the walkway is not part of this particular project.

Ms. Godbey: You know, that walkway...we've been waiting for so long and it's been blocked off to the community. We left Hanapēpē about 10 years ago, and it's still not done. And before then, I don't know, was it 6 years or something? Before then where it was blocked off and we couldn't use that walkway. Right now, when I go down there, I see some kind of thing, you know, just flapping up and down. (Laughter in background) I don't know what that is. And I remember, when I was walking on that bridge, at one time, there was a little hole like that on the bridge. By the time we left Hanapēpē, it was large. I don't know whether it was somebody who was crabbing or fishing off that bridge, just trying to while the time away, and just poking at that to see the river below, and that's because it's been neglected.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Mr. Hull: Is there any other member of the public that would like to testify on this agenda item?
Okay.

Ms. Schneider: Do we need a motion?

Mr. Hull: Yes. Ultimately, you are going to want a motion to take action on this agenda item. If you feel that additional information is necessary, you can also defer if you want more information. Or if you would like to recommend or recommend a certain type of action. Or you can just have a motion to receive because ultimately, they are just going through their 106 process which requires consultation with you folks.

Ms. Schneider: So do we have a motion?

Ms. Nakea: Can I ask a question first?

Ms. Schneider: Sure.

Ms. Nakea: I'm sorry. What was the reason for not repairing the walkway in this round of repairs? I think you mentioned it, but I don't...

Mr. Moule: So the reason was that we...the previous project included this type of repairs that we are talking about now, in addition to walkway repair. The walkway repair that was proposed at that time did not replace the walkway at its current location and elevation. It was a walkway...essentially, a separate structure, but adjacent to the bridge at the roadway level. That was something that was determined, as I understand it...it happened before I arrived here at the County...but it was determined to not be in keeping with the historic nature of the bridge because the walkway, even though it's not as old as the bridge, is also historic. So there was a desire amongst the community to keep the walkway at its current level. In order to do that, it would require a whole host of other...first of all, we can't attach it to the existing bridge the way it was attached before and meet the current standards for what pedestrian loads have to be; that's the challenge from a structural point of view. So trying to figure out how to support it otherwise is a challenge, and then providing ramps up to that elevation to meet ADA requirements is something else...another question that would have to be answered. So the idea was to split it up and just get the repairs done because we felt that could be done more readily, and so we are moving as quickly as we can, now, to get those done at this time.

Ms. Nakea: So then the walkway repair, would that be part of the main rehabilitation that you guys are anticipating in 10-15 years? Or perhaps something that could be addressed sooner?

Mr. Moule: At this point, we think that the best course of action is to do everything at once because of the...you know, doing the walkway at this time is such a major project; let's just do the whole thing at once. And, you know, a few years ago when we first decided to look at splitting them...maybe 2 years ago, I think, or not that long, right before I got here, in fact, I think is when this happened...it was...we thought okay, maybe we can do the walkway separately, but now we are to the point where okay, this bridge needs a full rehab sooner rather than later. Let's just do it

all at once; that's our current idea. We still have to look for funding for that because this is a \$12 to \$20 million dollar project. This is a really significantly large project to do this work.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you. Commissioners, do we have a motion?

Ms. Griffin: I move that we accept the Department of Public Works' proposal to repair the damaged and deteriorated parts of the Hanapēpē Bridge as described in the letter that if in the working of that they find damage that repairing would alter the historic nature of the bridge that they come back to us for that explanation.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a second?

Ms. Nakea: I second.

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion? Do we have a vote?

Ms. Higuchi Saygusa: Can you call for the vote? If there's no discussion, you can call for the vote and then...

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a vote? All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) All opposed? (None) Motion passes 6:0. Thank you.

**Re: Puuopae Bridge Replacement
TMK: 4-4-02, Kawaihau, Kaua'i**

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is Puuopae Bridge Replacement, which is...I'm sorry...Agenda Item H.2., located at TMK: 4-4-002, Kawaihau, Kaua'i. Request for review of the Draft Environmental Assessment and anticipated Finding of No Significant Impact prepared pursuant to the EIS law and EIS rules (Administrative Rules, Title 11, Chapter 200).

We also have, again, Michael Moule of the Engineering Division to present on that Draft Environmental Assessment.

Mr. Moule: Again, Michael Moule with the Engineering Division. So briefly, again, I'll describe this project. I believe, in this case, you have access to the full EA document, so hopefully you had a chance to look at that, but I will just briefly describe what we are proposing here.

Puuopae Bridge is a one-lane bridge in the Wailua Homesteads, towards Kapahi a little bit, area. The proposed plan is to replace the structure...replace the structure with a very light structure, essentially; similar steel high beams as the main girders running the length of the bridge, similar width, the 12.5-foot wide width of the bridge. The historic existing end posts would be placed back adjacent to the new structure, essentially, about where they are today. The existing abutments will be left in place and the new bridge will be a little longer to span the existing abutments, as I understand it. And that's, I guess, pretty much it. It's a fairly straightforward project that the

railing would be similar to what's...existing railing out there. Well, not the current existing railing; there is no railing.

Ms. Schneider: Right. There's nothing.

Mr. Moule: Sorry. (Laughter in background) The original railing on the bridge. Right now we've had to replace the rail, temporarily, with guard rails, essentially, due to challenges with respect to the old rail falling off or being hit by vehicles. That's the current plan for the bridge, and I think, again, you've got the EA document there. Hopefully you reviewed it. It has a lot more detail as to all that.

Ms. Schneider: We actually visited the bridge.

Mr. Moule: Okay. Yeah, I know you've done that in the past. Did you do it again recently as well?

Ms. Schneider: Last year.

Mr. Moule: Okay.

Ms. Nakea: I did the other day. I live up at the Homesteads. Thank you for all of your work on keeping it a one-lane bridge (laughter in background), and everybody else who was involved. It's beautiful up there.

Ms. Schneider: Any questions for the...?

Ms. Griffin: Madam Chair, I'd just like to state for the record that at the request of our previous Mayor and the encouragement of this Commission, I was the person that wrote the nomination to the State and National Register. It was a volunteer activity, so I have no financial interest that would make me think I have a conflict of interest in speaking about this. And congratulations to all of you who worked on this.

The one comment, if it's still a draft, that I would make is that the discussions really started in 2003/2004, and there were two (2) very well-attended meetings; over ninety (90) people in the community showed up and were very attached to the size of the community and the size of the bridge in keeping it small. And, if it is possible, including those minutes from those meetings would help to give a fullness to the report. It certainly isn't essential. This is a good document. But I did...that was where the whole push to keep the one-lane bridge and place it on the State and National Registers began.

Ms. Schneider: So do we have a motion?

Mr. Hull: I guess the motion would have to reflect Commissioner Griffin's comments to the effect that...correct me if I'm wrong, Pat...but that the Environmental Assessment incorporate those minutes adopted under the 2004 and 2005 KHPRC meetings that focused on Puuopae Bridge.

Ms. Griffin: It was the community meetings where the Mayor went out to the community to get early responses. And I think...I have been told that the County government was very surprised to have that kind of response, and it really helped move forward the discussions and the result that we had.

Mr. Hull: And ...sorry, Pat...you are aware that official minutes were taken at those meetings?

Ms. Griffin: I believe so. And I submitted...yes, (inaudible).

Mr. Hull: Okay. Okay. So I guess the motion would be that the final Environmental Assessment incorporate those minutes from the 2004/2005 community outreach meetings concerning Puuopae Bridge.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a second?

Mr. Hull: Well, I cannot make the motion. (Laughter in background) (Inaudible) and if there's anybody in agreement, the Commissioner could just respond by saying "so moved".

Ms. Nakea: I move that we accept the Environmental Assessment report with the inclusion of the community outreach meetings from 2004 and 2005 regarding the rehabilitation of the Puuopae Bridge.

Mr. Hull: Yeah. Well, (inaudible) concerning the nomination of the bridge to...

Ms. Nakea: The nomination of the bridge to the National Register.

Mr. Hull: Correct.

Ms. Schneider: Do we need a second?

Ms. Nakea: Do I have to say it all over again?

Mr. Hull: No. We can clean it up. (Laughter in background) And then you'd need a second.

Ms. Arinaga: I second.

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion? A vote. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) All opposed? (None) It passes 6:0.

Mr. Hull: Motion passes, Madam Chair.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Re: Nomination of the Sloggett Residence to the State of Hawai'i Register of Historic Places, TMK: 5-4-04:15, Hanalei, Kaua'i = Dolphin House – Thorrrington Smith Partnership.

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item we have is...going back and returning to the normal agenda...is Item G, Unfinished Business. G.1., nomination of the Sloggett Residence to the State of Hawai'i Register of Historic Places, TMK: 5-4-004:015, Hanalei, Kaua'i, Dolphin House, Thorrrington Smith Partnership.

This was deferred from a previous meeting in which the applicant was unable to attend. The applicant, since that time, has gotten in touch with the Department to say that he will be making amendments to the application and resubmitting it. So given that information, the Department would recommend a motion to receive.

Ms. Griffin: Not to defer?

Ms. Schneider: No.

Mr. Hull: We can, but by receiving it because...this body has in its possession the original document as drafted by the applicant. You can do a motion to defer, which essentially kind of has the effect of just drawing it out and it's on the next agenda until...it keeps on being placed on the agenda until the applicant shows up. You can do that, but it would be cleaner...the Department would recommend just receiving it, and when he's ready to come back, he just resubmits the amended application. A motion to receive does not affect, in any way, the up or down recommendation for the nomination.

Administrator Furfaro: A motion to receive would park the item. If you want to defer, you should have a date specific to defer. If not, it shows up every week.

Ms. Schneider: Yeah. So do we have a motion, Commissioners? One way or the other?

Ms. Nakea: I move that we accept the application with amendments in regards to the Sloggett House.

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: I don't think we've received anything.

Mr. Hull: No, yeah, so the difference, Commissioner, is if you go for acceptance, it, to a certain degree, is saying move it on and move it up to SHPD, which I don't think this body and the Department's ready for, but that motion can be made. The other...

Ms. Nakea: That's not what I meant to say. I meant to say receive. (Laughter in background)

Mr. Hull: So all you would have to say is just motion to receive.

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: So just to clarify, could you retract that...?

Ms. Nakea: Okay, I retract the motion to accept the application.

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: Okay.

Ms. Nakea: And I move that we receive.

Ms. Schneider: Motion to receive. And we have a second?

Ms. Arinaga: Second.

Ms. Schneider: All in favor? Any discussion? All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Any opposed? No. Motion passes 6:0.

Re: Chapter 343, Hawai'i Revised Statutes Pre-consultation and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Pre-Consultation for the Līhu'e Town Core Mobility and Revitalization Project TGR-0700(073).

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is G.2. Chapter 343, Hawai'i Revised Statutes Pre-consultation and National Environmental Policy Act Pre-consultation for the Līhu'e Town Core Mobility and Revitalization Project TGR-0700(073).

And we have, of the County of Kaua'i Planning Department, our Transportation Planner Lee Steinmetz here to give that presentation.

Lee Steinmetz: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. I have a PowerPoint presentation, so thank you for moving out of the way so... (Laughter in background)

Ms. Schneider: Yeah, that's why we're moving. (Laughter in background)

Mr. Steinmetz: Okay, so as Kaaina mentioned, this is before you as a pre-consultation item and just so you know, we have not formally started the Section 106 process, but we wanted to take the opportunity to see if you have any input upfront. Because this is not a formal consultation...I mean, we will obviously take your comments, but this will be coming back to you again as part of the Section 106 formal historic consultation at a later date.

Mr. Steinmetz presented a PowerPoint presentation on the Līhu'e Town Core Mobility and Revitalization Project for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Administrator Furfaro left the meeting at 3:48 p.m.

Mr. Steinmetz: So those are basically the project components that are included in the TIGER Project, and with that, I'll see if you have any questions.

Mr. Long: Why wouldn't you stripe the bike lanes?

Mr. Steinmetz: So that's a good question. Because of the width of the street, to maintain...we don't really want to widen the street, and based on the width of the street, we don't really have room to put bike lanes in both directions and maintain traffic. And because it's a relatively low volume street, we feel like it is okay for bikes and cars to share the road on that street. What we'd like to do is some things to just slow down car traffic, but we feel it is okay in that particular situation to just share the road.

Ms. Schneider: Any other questions? Pat.

Ms. Griffin: (Laughter in background) The "Generating Economic Recovery" part speaks to the historic nature of this whole Town Core area, and that is our kuleana on talking about it. So as you all are going through this, it's really important to think, with the, you know, commercial development on Rice and bringing back...I mean, you talk mostly about roads, but that, you know, the "GER" in TIGER is economic recovery on how to enhance that historic nature of the structures along there and beyond. And part of the beyond is the Civic Center itself, the Piikoi Building is historic, it became historic in October of 2004, this building...the Kapule Building became historic in October of 2005, and if you want to do something with the round building, do it before October because it'll become historic, too. So before you all change the footprint on these buildings or get very invested in a particular plan, I'm not sure that the Planning Department or Public Works is aware that how fast 50 years comes up in our concept of history in this Country, so I hope you will keep that in mind and come to us often.

The sense of the Convention Hall being parking sounds really wonderful as a way to enhance the commercial possibilities of Rice Street, and enhancing those possibilities makes for much more potential to preserve those buildings, as a comment pre-consultation.

And finally, I want to compliment you on this. I know how much...actually, I have no idea how much work, but I know it was a tremendous amount of work. And I attended a Governor's luncheon today, and the Governor was so complimentary about the way the County went about this grant and the tenacity with which our Mayor kept at it and all of you who worked so hard. I just...I think that it has the potential to really benefit the island and help celebrate our history and activities.

Ms. Schneider: Anyone else? Stephen.

Mr. Long: Because this is such a large pedestrian project, I had a comment about the landscaping. So the thought would be some indigenous plants and shrubs and trees, and maybe some concept of xeriscaping.

Mr. Steinmetz: Okay, thank you.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you, Lee. Do we have a motion to receive?

Mr. Hull: I don't know if you want to open it up to testimony from the public.

Ms. Schneider: Is there anyone from the public that would like to speak on this issue? Nope.

Mr. Hull: Yeah, so then you can ask for a motion.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a motion to receive?

Ms. Arinaga: I motion to receive the information.

Mr. Hull: It would need a second.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a second?

Ms. Griffin: I second.

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion?

Ms. Griffin: I have a question about that motion. We are receiving it and not simply accepting it?

Mr. Hull: Yes. Well, it depends on the...what the Commission wants to do. A motion of receipt would just...basically, you have received the consultation notice and Lee can walk away from this meeting having, kind of, incorporated the individual comments of the Commissioners. The motion to accept, to a certain degree, could be construed as that is a motion of approval and you would totally accept what is done and there is no need to return back. And I think Lee pointed out he'll be returning back at a later time with further details.

Ms. Arinaga: He said he was going to come back. Right.

Mr. Hull: And then the third option is if you folks actually want to act as a body to give specific recommendations or comments as a body to the process. So those are kind of the three (3) options at the table.

Ms. Arinaga: But don't we want to wait until he returns and see if things have changed?

Mr. Hull: Yeah, so the motion to receive would essentially just put this consultation notice and take care of it, you're just receiving it, and then when they come back the second time, yeah.

Ms. Griffin: Since this is a pre-consultation, I think it's important that the County be aware that these three (3) buildings are historic, and I don't know how to amend that motion if it's receiving.

Mr. Hull: Okay. Yeah. So the Commission has a motion to receive and a second, so an action needs to be taken on that motion, and there's no way...you're correct, Commissioner Griffin...that that motion could be amended to reflect further comments be given to the process. If Commissioner Arinaga is willing to, say, withdraw her motion to receive and the second be withdrawn, another motion could be made, but it's at the discretion of the Commission.

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: Or, Chair, if you want to call for the vote and see where that goes, that's up to you, too.

Ms. Nakea: Can I ask a question? So you just wanted to reflect that the three (3) buildings here are historic and you'd want the motion to reflect that we brought that up? I mean...

Ms. Griffin: Yes.

Ms. Nakea: Okay.

Ms. Griffin: And the historic nature of Rice Street, as they are doing the improvements. So I can withdraw my second.

Ms. Arinaga: Okay. So I can withdraw my motion.

Ms. Schneider: Okay.

Ms. Arinaga: How do I do that? I would like to withdraw my motion.

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: Okay.

Mr. Hull: And then Pat.

Ms. Schneider: Pat, you need to withdraw the second.

Ms. Griffin: Oh, I...

Ms. Arinaga: She just did.

Ms. Schneider: Yeah. Okay, and then we have a new motion.

Mr. Hull: I think...and chime in, Commissioners, if you feel that I'm not getting the intent correct, but I believe the motion you are looking for is something along...is a motion to accept on the condition that the Department return later on with further details concerning the TIGER grant, and that in the further...and that the development plans reflect the historic nature of the County buildings.

Ms. Griffin: And the Rice Street area.

Mr. Hull: And the Rice Street area.

Ms. Schneider: And do we have a second for that?

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: Oh, we have to...somebody has to make that motion.

Mr. Hull: Yeah, so I can't. (Laughter)

Ms. Arinaga: Okay. Help me out.

Ms. Schneider: Go ahead, go ahead.

Ms. Arinaga: Okay.

Mr. Hull: So you could...to that, you could just say...Commissioner, if that was your intent, you could just say "so moved as stated by the Department".

Ms. Arinaga: Oh, okay. So moved as recommended?

Mr. Hull: Stated by the Department.

Ms. Arinaga: As stated by the Department.

Ms. Griffin: Second.

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion? Lee.

Mr. Steinmetz: Oh, okay. I'm sorry to confuse matters, but did you want to also add the recommendation about looking at native plants and historic plants as part of that suggestion? I mean, we've noted that, but I just wasn't sure if you wanted to formalize that.

Ms. Schneider: Not necessary.

Mr. Steinmetz: Okay.

Ms. Schneider: So, we take a vote?

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: I think we're still in discussion.

Mr. Hull: Still in discussion.

Ms. Schneider: Still in discussion. Any further discussion?

Mr. Hull: Okay.

Ms. Schneider: All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Anyone opposed? No? Motion passes 6:0. Thank you, Lee.

Mr. Steinmetz: Okay, thank you.

Re: Report from investigative committee (Permitted Interaction Group) to discuss and explore draft update of the Kaua'i Historic Resource Inventory. Once formed and the task completed, the investigative committee will present its findings to the Commission in a duly noticed meeting for decision-making.

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is Agenda Item G.3., report from investigative committee, Permitted Interaction Group, to discuss and explore draft update of the Kaua'i Historic Resource Inventory. Once formed and the task completed, the investigative committee will present its findings to the Commission in a duly noticed meeting for decision-making.

I don't believe the Permitted Interaction Group has met since the last meeting, so the Department would recommend, again, for this particular agenda item, that a motion be made to receive.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a motion?

Mr. Long: I move that we receive this agenda item.

Ms. Schneider: Second?

Ms. Arinaga: Second.

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion?

Mr. Hull: And just for quick clarification because I know a lot of discussion has veered into this motion to receive recommendation the Department has been giving. Just to clarify, the KHPRC has generally had the policy to defer agenda items if they wanted more information or if it was something like this Permitted Interaction Group that gives updates. We're going to be looking more at the motions to receive so that in situations like the Sloggett House that they weren't ready to come back or in situations where reports aren't being made, you can just receive the report for the record and when the new report is had, the Permitted Interaction Group or, say, the Sloggett House can come back at the time when they are ready to give their presentation, as opposed to it constantly coming back on every single agenda regardless of whether or not a presentation is ready to be made.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Ms. Arinaga: So, I have a question. If it's deferred, then it'll keep coming up on the agenda?

Mr. Hull: Correct.

Ms. Arinaga: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Griffin: But if we receive this...I mean, I do not believe we met this month, but we certainly want to keep this on the agenda coming up month after month after month, so I would not vote to receive it, personally.

Mr. Hull: No, so what you'd be voting to receive is the last report that was given at the last...because this was, essentially, deferred. So the last set of reports that the PIG has given to the KHPRC, those have all been deferred, and so we're saying that you just receive the verbal reports that were given and those meetings. And then should you folks be able to meet between now and the next KHPRC meeting, a report would, essentially, be put on that agenda.

Ms. Griffin: I believe that last month we did have a report that it was ongoing. We didn't simply defer it. And Stephen, as I recall, gave the report because we had met a couple of times, at least, in that last...in that period before our last meeting. What isn't here is the PIG that we voted to establish last month for educational...an educational PIG.

Mr. Hull: No, but the...sorry, Commissioner, going back to the...for the Historic Resource Inventory, you are saying it wasn't deferred at the last meeting?

Ms. Griffin: No, we gave a report.

Ms. Schneider: Stephen gave a report.

Mr. Hull: No, he gave the report...

Ms. Griffin: And it was accepted. It was...

Mr. Hull: Okay.

Ms. Griffin: Well, we can go back to the minutes to find out, but it wasn't deferred.

Mr. Hull: Okay.

Ms. Griffin: It was just continued on.

Mr. Hull: Okay, well, that might've been a clerical error on our part, then, putting it on the agenda because we were under the impression that it was deferred. So if it was accepted and/or received, then we shouldn't have placed that on. We can double-check the minutes.

Ms. Schneider: So what's the Commission's...

Ms. Griffin: But it should be on the agenda because we should be working on it consistently, so we don't want it to...

Mr. Hull: No, and so what I'm saying, Commissioner, is that if there is no meeting of the PIG and there's no report given...hence there was no report provided to the Department, either verbally or in writing, but we agendized it with the fact that there was no report to be given, and you can't have an agenda item for something that's essentially nonexistent. I get the intent and desire to make sure that there's a placeholder so that it's always discussed, but if there is no report to be given, then, technically, it can't be on the agenda.

Ms. Griffin: I believe a few months ago, when we were working on a public relations Permitted Interaction Group, we were told just the opposite; that it would be on until it was formally disbanded.

Mr. Hull: No, and I know we've had those discussions previously on this, and that was our intent to just have a placeholder, but in going back and looking at, essentially, parliamentary rules and the way that agendas can be created, we can't just put a placeholder there if there's not going to be a specific report or there's nothing to provide for this body to discuss. It's not an actual agenda item. And I do get what you're saying that that was okay several months ago, but in further looking at the way rules and agendas are created, we can't have an agenda item for something that doesn't exist, Pat. (Laughter)

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: So, I mean, if you folks, between now and the next meeting or subsequent meetings, meet and you have something to report back, then that can be transmitted to the Department and then we can place it back on the agenda. I mean, yeah, it won't ever go away. I'm sure it'll be...you know, the PIG is still formed and, you know, at some point you folks are going to have to take action, you know, because there's a report...there's a minimum of three (3) meetings when a PIG is formed; one is to develop the scope, and then, two, is for the report and discussion of what you folks discussed, and then the third, eventually, would have to incorporate some sort of action based on the scope of the PIG and why it was formed. So I think we're still...we're kind of like continually in this second phase and so, I mean, it won't go away because inevitably, we're going to have to...there's going to have to be an action.

Mr. Hull: And also to, somewhat, dovetail on Jodi's comments, much of the way agendas are created has a lot...much to do with Sunshine Law and providing public notice to the public what's going to be on the agenda for them to come and either listen to or participate in that discussion. Now, if individuals see on an agenda an agenda item, say, the report from the investigative committee to discuss and explore the Resource Inventory list and they come here to testify on that item and we...our response to them is actually, there is no report, the corresponding response from the public is going to be well, then why is it on the agenda?

Mr. Long: If we meet as a PIG between our monthly meetings, then that meeting is necessarily an agenda item on the next upcoming public meeting.

Ms. Schneider: So it would be on the agenda, next meeting.

Ms. Griffin: What this PIG is doing is very important and there shouldn't be a whole month that goes by without our getting together, and so I'd like to state that right out (inaudible) it's an inventory that nobody was...felt was what it needed to be and we should be meeting every month. (Laughter) So if there is no report, there should be one, and hopefully next month and the month after that and the month after that until we get it done, there will be reports.

Ms. Schneider: I'm sure there will be. So we need a motion.

Mr. Long: I have a report on our PIG. We didn't meet in between these two (2) meetings, and we really need to schedule our next meeting, which is going to be a little bit more problematic because it was a site inspection, drive around meeting with cars and coordination. So as a PIG member, I'd like to request the Planning Department schedule and coordinate with us our next PIG site meeting.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you, Stephen.

Mr. Long: And keep it as an agenda item on our agenda because we will be meeting again.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you, Stephen.

Mr. Long: Is that sufficient for other Commissioners?

Ms. Schneider: And we still need a motion to receive this.

Mr. Hull: Well, I think there was a motion made to receive, which I think for...what Commissioner Long is getting at, again, there would have to be a withdrawal of that motion and withdrawal of the second.

Mr. Long: I withdraw my motion...

Mr. Hull: No, no, no, not you, Commissioner Long. I believe Commissioner Arinaga made the motion.

Mr. Long: No, I did.

Mr. Hull: You made the motion to receive?

Mr. Long: Yes.

Mr. Hull: Okay.

Mr. Long: I did. So I withdraw my motion to receive because I just gave a report on our PIG.

Ms. Schneider: Yeah.

Mr. Hull: Okay. And then the withdrawal of the second would be necessary. Commissioner Arinaga, I believe you made the second.

Ms. Arinaga: I did?

Mr. Hull: Yes. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Arinaga: So I can withdraw my motion...my second. Thank you.

Ms. Schneider: And then what?

Mr. Hull: So ultimately, the next motion to entertain Commissioner Long's would be...to Commissioner Long's report, a motion to defer.

Ms. Griffin: So moved. Or is...second.

Mr. Long: As moved and suggested by the Planning Department. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Schneider: Any discussion? All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Anybody opposed? No? Motion passes 6:0.

**Re: Discussion on the status of the Certified Local Government.
State of the National and State Register Nomination of the Hanapēpē Bridge.**

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is the discussion on the status of the Certified Local Government. The first agenda item on that is the status of the National and State Register Nomination of the Hanapēpē Bridge. I believe we have Anna Broverman from SHPD here.

Ms. Schneider: Hi.

Ms. Broverman: Anna Broverman, again, from State Historic Preservation Division. We received a draft copy, I think, numerous years ago for Hanapēpē Bridge for a nomination, and it was not complete.

Ms. Schneider: What can we do to help these people get this nomination?

Ms. Broverman: The best thing that you could do would be either to apply for a Certified Local Government grant and have a professional help fill out the National Register nomination form for the bridge, or else try and find a volunteer to help with filling out the form because I believe there is a lot of great information already out there, we just need someone to do the technical side of things, filling out that document.

Ms. Schneider: Now, there isn't somebody from the State that could assist these people?

Ms. Broverman: As of now, we don't have the personnel to do that. I can always ask around our office and see what...if we have any takers.

Ms. Schneider: We would appreciate that.

Ms. Arinaga: How about you? Could you assist them? (Laughter in background)

Ms. Broverman: I could ask my boss and see.

Ms. Arinaga: Can you ask?

Ms. Broverman: Yes.

Ms. Arinaga: To start.

Ms. Broverman: Mmhmm.

Ms. Schneider: Because it seems that the information is out there and it just would take somebody to assist the people to make the nomination.

Ms. Broverman: Right. Because there are some technical portions of the nomination forms that aren't very intuitive, so people need some help.

Ms. Schneider: Yes. Anything else?

Mr. Hull: If there is no other discussion on the status of the National and State Register nomination for the Hanapēpē Bridge, you may want to open it up to the public to see if any members of the public want to testify on that agenda item.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you, Kaaina. Anybody from the public who would like to speak on this?

Ms. Godbey: Elsie Godbey. I just want to make a comment that we did fill in the register...to have that bridge registered, and went through a...I don't know, a process because we came here to have help and then we were told we would be helped, and we would come and the person isn't here; I think twice that happened. So I just decided I'd just go and fill it in as best as I can, and so I did and sent it to the State. And if you remember, at that time, the State was in a turmoil, and our thing got lost somewhere. I was told it was sent to the National Register, and that person I talked to was always at home, before I realized what was happening at the State. And now, I know about three (3) of the people that we used to call and now are not there anymore. So at that point, we kind of gave up; not gave up, but like our bridge in Hanapēpē, we decided oh well, just forget it.

Ms. Schneider: We are trying to do what we can to...

Ms. Godbey: Yeah. And look at our town, I mean, nobody helps us. You have a TIGER grant and all that, and here, you know, we sit down and hear what's going to happen to Līhu'e, and we just look back at our town and...how many years? We even had a birthday party for the bridge, and the Mayor came. And you know, the Mayor, when he was little, he used to swim and he jumped from the bridge and swam in that river. (Laughter in background) So, you know, we got good stories.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you very much. Stephen.

Mr. Long: Well, there are CLG funds available. Would it be too much to ask the Department to prepare a preliminary budget to...and what would be involved to use CLG funds, a dollar figure, to hire a consultant to assist in completing the application?

Mr. Hull: No, yeah, so actually, Commissioner Long, that would come from our department actually. So our department would draft up the application and submit it to SHPD, and Anna folks would actually be the determining agency on whether or not those funds would be awarded to the County of Kaua'i for that specific purpose.

Mr. Long: So would it be too much to ask our Planning Department if they could submit a request or (inaudible)?

Mr. Hull: No, yeah, so what the Department can do is we can look at some preliminary figures for that, as well as consult with Public Works. So we would work out, somewhat, in a kin to the way that the CLG application is being drafted for the nomination of the War Memorial Convention Hall in which we did that in coordination with...or, are doing that in coordination with the Parks Department, so that can be done; at least to begin those preliminary steps.

Mr. Long: Thank you. I do have a comment to the public and that is that filling out these applications and getting these nominations, there's a technical aspect to it that's specific to, you know, an architect or whatever, engineer, and so...and that costs money. So, you know, if you really want something done, then, you know, it helps to apply. Find somebody who is one of those professionals and apply some finances towards supporting that.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you, Stephen.

Ms. Arinaga: I had a question.

Ms. Schneider: Go ahead.

Ms. Arinaga: So, did I understand correctly that the paperwork cannot be found?

Ms. Godbey: (Inaudible) told it was sent to the National (inaudible).

Ms. Broverman: From what our office understands, we received the paperwork and one of the...Mike Gushard, one of the architectural historians who used to work at our office, reviewed it, and then we were not able to find if it was sent to National Park Service or not. They said that they had never received it. So at this point, our office hasn't been able to find the paperwork.

Ms. Nakea: I support Commissioner Long's idea, and I know we are not taking a vote right now or anything, but I think that they need help.

Ms. Schneider: Yes. They need help and we should do what we can to help.

Mr. Hull: So at this point, a motion could be made to...a motion could be made for the Department to research and begin compiling a preliminary CLG fund application for the nomination of the Hanapēpē Bridge to the State and/or National Historic Registry.

Ms. Schneider: Do we have a motion?

Mr. Hull: If I got that intent right, all that would need to be stated from a Commissioner is “so moved”.

Ms. Nakea: So moved.

Mr. Hull: And a second.

Ms. Arinaga: Second.

Ms. Schneider: All in favor? Any discussion?

Mr. Hull: Is there any...yeah.

Ms. Schneider: Discussion? All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Anybody opposed? No? Motion passes 6:0.

**Re: Discussion on the status of the Certified Local Government.
CLG Status**

Mr. Hull: The next agenda is Item G.4., which is discussion on the status of Certified Local Government, the CLG status.

Ms. Broverman: So, Madam Chair, I'd like to discuss the status of the 2015 CLG grant with the Commission. And at this point, we still have roughly \$57,000 available that has to be utilized by the end of September of this year. As part of that, I've come up with some training ideas and I wanted to see if the Commission would support the trainings that I've thought of.

The first is to bring the National Alliance of Preservation Commissions back to the State to do trainings for each Commission. So in 2013, we had the Commission...or the NAPC do trainings for all three (3) commissions over two (2) days on Hawai'i Island, and we got a lot of positive feedback from that. But we also heard that it would be nice if we had trainings that were more geared towards each County, and so I thought we could bring them back at the end of September, this year, to do trainings on each island, so they'd have one (1) specifically for Kaua'i and the issues that you all face.

Ms. Schneider: That would be good.

Ms. Broverman: Like I said, it would about...the end of the September are the dates that we are looking at, and I wanted to note if the Commission members had any suggestions for what specific training they are looking for. Say, just general basic preservation knowledge, preservation planning, how to do outreach, that type of thing.

Ms. Schneider: Commissioners?

Ms. Nakea: I don't even know what I don't know. (Laughter in background) Actually, just basic preservation and standards/practices.

Ms. Broverman: Okay.

Ms. Nakea: Starting from scratch.

Ms. Broverman: And this also includes, like, how to list things on the National Register, applying for CLG grants, that type of thing.

Ms. Schneider: The Historic Hawai'i thing that we did, I guess it was last year or the year before, was terrific and would be great for the new Commissioners.

Ms. Broverman: Mmhmm. Okay.

Mr. Long: I'd like to see training on how to fill out a National Register (inaudible). (Laughter in background)

Ms. Schneider: Well, that's what that was.

Mr. Long: And also, to repeat my request from last month, on the State...or on the National or the Historic Preservation tax credits.

Ms. Broverman: Okay.

Mr. Long: And with the tax credits, it was interesting because at the San Diego conference, which I also attended that specific talk, they had somebody there from the secondary bond market where you sell the tax credits on the secondary market, which was an interesting business sidelight to that, so that might be included in that, and I have the cards. If you want to send me an email, I have the cards of the people that gave that talk and maybe they know somebody or can help in that way.

Ms. Broverman: Okay. Good to know.

Ms. Schneider: Pat.

Ms. Griffin: I'd like to follow-up on Ms. Nakea because I was fortunate enough to go to Hawai'i Island for this training, and one of the most successful aspects of it is just what she asked about the Secretary Standards for rehabilitation. That training talked about how...when we look at a project that comes before us, we judge it in terms of these ten (10) standards, and so it takes it out of being subjective. You know, there are guidelines, and that seems to me that...I mean, it sounds very basic, but whole libraries can be filled with laws and standards of the last 100 years, so for the purposes of the Commission and that, I think it's just fundamental to what we are doing here.

Ms. Broverman: Great.

Ms. Schneider: Stephen.

Mr. Long: I just have a personal thought about the trainings, and there's a certain, you know, a component to going to another island that's nice because then you get to meet, you know, people from other islands that are doing similar work as you are and there's sort of a cross-fertilization of contacts, so I appreciate your efforts to make it easy for us to get training. Also, it is nice to go to another island and meet other people doing what we are doing.

Ms. Broverman: Okay.

Ms. Schneider: Thank you.

Ms. Broverman: That's kind of a nice segue for this other training that I was looking at. In July of this year, National Alliance of Preservation Commission is also doing their biennial forum, so every 2 years they put on this conference that's specifically for Certified Local Government Commissions. This year it's being held July 27th through 31st in Mobile, Alabama. And we've set aside some of these funds from the 2015 grant to send Planners and Commission members to the conference, and I wanted to see if there was anyone who would want to volunteer for this. It would be paid for through the grant.

Ms. Schneider: You can send Kaaina.

Ms. Broverman: Yeah. (Laughter in background)

Mr. Hull: No, yeah, and I actually had the discussion with Anna about that because I know they are looking at, possibly, sending a Commissioner and a staff member and what have you, and at this time, the Staff is unable to attend that particular conference when it is...

Ms. Schneider: Anybody interested in going to Alabama? (Laughter in background)

Ms. Nakea: When did you say it was?

Ms. Broverman: It's July 27th through 31st. This...

Ms. Nakea: (Inaudible)

Ms. Broverman: Mmhmm. About two (2) months.

Ms. Nakea: I'd go.

Ms. Broverman: Okay.

Ms. Nakea: Wait. July 27th and?

Ms. Broverman: Through 31st.

Ms. Nakea: Okay. I could go. I mean...I could go. I would be totally willing to go. However, I'm a little insecure about my abilities to represent us well or to...

Ms. Broverman: Well, one of the great things about the forum is that it's a great place to network, but also to...they have a lot of educational sessions; just teach basic preservation information, and also specifically for Commissioners, you know, some helpful stuff, so it's a good place to learn. It's also one of the few occasions where you can meet the people from the National Park Service who run the Certified Local Government program. I've only met them once and it was at the last forum, so they are the ones who review our grant applications and give us directives.

Ms. Schneider: If you could send us the information in an email so we could see what the conference...maybe there would be other Commissioners that might be interested as well.

Ms. Broverman: Mmhmm.

Mr. Hull: Yeah, and I can...I'm aware of the website and Anna was going to be presenting on it here, so as soon as the meeting's over, we can disseminate, to you folks, the website so you can take a look.

Ms. Schneider: And in case anybody else finds they can go.

Mr. Hull: And you can look at what other wonderful sites Mobile, Alabama may have. (Laughter)

Ms. Nakea: Alabama sounds hot. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Schneider: It is. It's very hot in the summer. (Laughter in background)

Ms. Nakea: But I'd be interested.

Ms. Schneider: Any other questions?

Ms. Broverman: And then I have one last training to propose. This one doesn't use grant funds, but I was looking to see if the Commission would be interested for me to do a training at one of these meetings for how to apply for CLG grants, filling out the grant application, and also just basic information about how the grants work, the Federal fiscal year cycles, this kind of information.

Ms. Schneider: That would be terrific, Anna.

Ms. Broverman: Okay.

Ms. Schneider: Yeah.

Ms. Broverman: And Kaaina, I'll work with you to set up a date.

Mr. Hull: Yeah.

Ms. Schneider: Yeah, that would be terrific.

Ms. Broverman: Okay.

Mr. Hull: So then...and then this speaks, kind of, to the educational Permitted Interaction Group that was formed last month, which hasn't met at this point. So we had requested from Anna folks a list of educational opportunities in which, a while ago, Anna folks were able to provide the list of various National conferences and conventions, which we could apply for CLG funding for you folks to attend, but then also...I had also sent a communication to Anna guys concerning educational opportunities for folks to come here and actually give you folks that training, which essentially Anna is presenting they are looking at just doing it automatically with their CLG fund things. Would you...are you guys, for the Permitted Interaction Group in particular, are you folks desiring, potentially, more on-island training for which we can work with Anna to get another comprehensive list that you guys can go over? Or does this sufficiently, kind of, meet your folks' interest, I'll say? And you may not be able to respond now as a group or individually, but I'll, essentially, send out the list of the conventions, and if you guys require...want more information concerning on-island trainings more than Anna is able present today, then we can work with SHPD to get that list as well.

Ms. Arinaga: I think that would be a good idea.

Ms. Schneider: Yes, thank you.

Ms. Arinaga: To see what's (inaudible).

Ms. Schneider: Yeah, what's available, and then we can sort it out; who can go and at what time. Thank you, Anna. Appreciate it.

Ms. Broverman: Thank you, Madam Chair. That's all I have for right now.

Ms. Schneider: Are we pau?

Mr. Hull: So that concludes Agenda Item G.4.b.

COMMISSION EDUCATION (None)

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is Commission Education, which there...was previously discussed.

DATE AND AGENDA TOPICS (June 23, 2016)

Mr. Hull: The next agenda item is Date and Agenda Topics for June 23, 2016. We are taking in our monthly regiment, but is there any other interest for Commissioners to have certain agenda topics be placed on it?

Ms. Schneider: We'd want to put the other PIG on.

Ms. Griffin: Yeah. Maybe this has changed, too, but it was my understanding that once...last month when we voted to establish a PIG, it would have to go on the agenda this month to get public discussion and actually be accepted formally as the PIG. No?

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: It was formed last meeting, and whoever were the membership of the PIG could meet on its own, and then if there's a report to come back to the Commission with, then we'd put it back on the agenda and then that could be discussed. And then if there's a discussion on where to go next, then we'd reschedule it for another meeting where an action would be voted on and taken.

Ms. Griffin: So we could form it with no public comment?

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: Well, that's part of the purposes of the PIG. It's to allow the members of the PIG more flexibility, so you don't have to meet and discuss things at an agendaed meeting. And so that's why...I mean, there's an overall process to forming it and so that part will be...is sunshined and discussed, in public, what the scope of the PIG is. And then subsequently, you folks have the flexibility to meet on your own, and then the report back, that's also at a sunshined meeting available to the public.

Mr. Hull: So I think to also get that, Commissioner Griffin, for the past month, the PIG could have met. It hasn't met at this point, so there's no report to be given. Now, with the other PIG for the Inventory Resource, Shan and Myles have been coordinating it because it requires resources and the computer and the slideshow for you folks to come in to discuss that inventory. And if you'd like for us to also coordinate the educational PIG, we can, but there's not necessarily any resources that you guys have...it's not a type of PIG where you're required to come into the County to meet and discuss it. So the PIG is free to meet whenever it wants, but if you'd like us to kind of run the ship, as far as coordinating the times and dates, we can do that as well.

Ms. Schneider: And you're waiting for the information from...

Ms. Arinaga: So I think as soon as you provide us with the information for the educational PIG, then we can determine what our next steps would be.

Ms. Schneider: Right.

Ms. Arinaga: Right? Would that make sense?

Mr. Hull: Okay. We can...I can send that out as soon as we get back to the office.

Ms. Schneider: Are we good? Any other...anything else?

Mr. Hull: Are there any other agenda topics that the Commission or Commissioners may want to include in the upcoming agenda?

Ms. Griffin: I have another question. We talked, a few months ago, about this Commission has never had rules established, and that was in process. Is that in process enough to be an agenda item next month?

Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa: I don't think...well, personally, I mean, I'll have to be involved in, obviously, helping to draft those rules. To be honest, I'm not sure if I can get it together by next month, but definitely within maybe a couple months; that would be a realistic timeframe for me personally.

Mr. Hull: Yeah, because... Realistically, by July we should be able to get something. If we can get it to you for the next agenda, we'll get it because Jodi and I worked on those rules several months ago, and a lot of other, somewhat, landmines have popped up in the meantime. (Laughter) But we did draft those rules several...we took a stab at drafting those rules several months ago when we were in Oregon, so if we can get it to you...we'll make every attempt to get it for the June meeting. If we can't, we should get it by the July meeting.

Ms. Schneider: And the next meeting is June 23rd?

Mr. Hull: Yes. And kind of speaking to that, too, Commissioner Griffin, concerning the rules, and I know that there has been a lot of notice and somewhat confusion as we begin implementing further parliamentary procedures on the manner in which this Commission conducts itself, I know it can be a bit frustrating, and particularly given, somewhat, the lax way that was approached before, but there are, essentially...not only to provide applicants and the public and the documentation that comes out of here a certain officialdom and as well as...that truly synthesizes what happens on the Commission, the Department...and with the County Attorney's Office...really feel that it provides for a much more clarified process to go forward. We're going to go through some growing pains, and we obviously are, but the Department just requests your indulgence and we appreciate your patience as we go through this.

Ms. Schneider: Well, we thank you.

Ms. Nakea: When we are referring to rules, are we talking about getting more...in regards to parliamentary procedures? Okay.

Ms. Schneider: Separate rules for the Commission, as opposed to just using the regular parliamentary...

Mr. Hull: Yeah, the rules...the primary intent of the rules is because, actually, this Commission, I believe, is the only Commission within the County of Kaua'i that doesn't have an adopted standard set of Commission rules, which lay out the parliamentary procedures in which you

function or operate. Because you guys don't have and we essentially rely on Robert's Rules as the de facto standard, but those can be adjusted by adopting those rules. And going through the rulemaking process, while our intent, the Department's intent with the County Attorney, is really to just establish those parliamentary rules for you folks, there are other options that are afforded in rulemaking in how you review and analyze specific applications and the types of applications or consultations that come before you. That opportunity to clarify standards and set rules for how that occurs is also available in the rulemaking.

Ms. Schneider: So are we adjourned? Adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:37 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,



Darcie Agaran
Commission Support Clerk

Date: 06/09/16